

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/696,207	10/29/2003	Mark J. Gallina	ITL.0998US (P16010)	7392
21906	7590 07/12/2007		EXAMINER	
TROP PRUNER & HU, PC 1616 S. VOSS ROAD, SUITE 750			LEADER, WILLIAM T	
HOUSTON, T			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1753	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/12/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/696,207 GALLINA, M		ARK J.		
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		William T. Leader	1753			
The Period for Re	e MAILING DATE of this communication app ply	pears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence add	dress		
A SHORT WHICHEN - Extensions after SIX (6 - If NO period - Failure to re Any reply re	ENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY (FR IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period opply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute deceived by the Office later than three months after the mailing and term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUN 36(a). In no event, however, may will apply and will expire SIX (6) Mi , cause the application to become	IICATION. a reply be timely filed ONTHS from the mailing date of this con ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status	•		· ·			
2a)∐ This 3)∐ Sind	ponsive to communication(s) filed on <u>12 A</u> action is FINAL . 2b) This te this application is in condition for alloward in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final.		merits is		
Disposition o	f Claims					
4a) (5)∭ Clai 6)∭ Clai 7)∭ Clai	m(s) <u>1-30</u> is/are pending in the application of the above claim(s) <u>21-30</u> is/are withdrav m(s) is/are allowed. m(s) is/are rejected. m(s) is/are objected to. m(s) <u>1-20</u> are subject to restriction and/or of	vn from consideration.				
Application F	apers					
10)⊡ The Appl Rep	specification is objected to by the Examine drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accicant may not request that any objection to the acement drawing sheet(s) including the correct oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	epted or b) objected t drawing(s) be held in abey tion is required if the drawir	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). ng(s) is objected to. See 37 CF			
Priority unde	r 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
2) Notice of D 3) Information	references Cited (PTO-892) rraftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Mail Date	Paper N	v Summary (PTO-413) o(s)/Mail Date f Informal Patent Application	e ^c		

DETAILED ACTION

Receipt of the papers filed on April 12, 2007, is acknowledged. Applicant elected Group I, claims 1-20 without traverse. Upon further review, the following election of species is made.

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species: i) a device having a base to support an object where the base is not directly connected to spring electrical contacts adapted to contact a first surface of the object and ii) a device having a frame with spring electrical contacts adapted to contact a first surface of an object and a base to support the object where the base is not directly connected to the frame. The species are independent or distinct because in one embodiment there is no contact between spring members and a base, while in a second embodiment there is no contact between a base and a frame but there may be contact between spring members and the base.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, no claim is generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of an

Application/Control Number: 10/696,207

Art Unit: 1753

allowable generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to William T. Leader whose telephone number is 571-272-1245.

The examiner can normally be reached on Mondays-Thursdays and alternate Fridays, 7:30-4:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Susy Tsang-Foster can be reached on 571-272-1293. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/696,207 Page 4

Art Unit: 1753

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

William Leader July 5, 2007

ROY KING SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTED 1700